

Variant: NM_000314.6(PTEN):c.740T>C (p.Leu247Ser)

Version: 1.1

CA16044135 [↗](#)

223142 (ClinVar) [↗](#)

Gene: PTEN ([HGNC:5728](#))

Condition: PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome ([MONDO:0017623](#))

Inheritance Mode: Autosomal dominant inheritance

UUID: 33e47859-5909-4f34-ac6c-c5bf41ab8544

Approved on: 2023/8/4

Published on: 2023/10/19

HGVS expressions

NM_000314.6(PTEN):c.740T>C (p.Leu247Ser)

NM_000314.6:c.740T>C

NC_000010.11:g.87957958T>C

CM000672.2:g.87957958T>C

NC_000010.10:g.89717715T>C

CM000672.1:g.89717715T>C

NC_000010.9:g.89707695T>C

NG_007466.2:g.99520T>C

ENST00000700029.2:c.740T>C

ENST00000710265.1:c.740T>C

ENST00000472832.3:c.740T>C

ENST00000688158.2:n.1475T>C

ENST00000688922.2:c.*570T>C

ENST00000700021.1:c.695T>C

ENST00000700022.1:c.*79T>C

ENST00000700023.1:n.1898T>C

ENST00000700024.1:n.2132T>C

ENST00000700025.1:n.1509T>C

ENST00000700026.1:n.377T>C

ENST00000700029.1:c.574T>C

ENST00000706954.1:c.740T>C

ENST00000706955.1:c.*775T>C

ENST00000686459.1:c.*326T>C

ENST00000688158.1:c.*851T>C

ENST00000688308.1:c.740T>C

ENST00000688922.1:c.661T>C

ENST00000693560.1:c.1259T>C

ENST00000371953.8:c.740T>C

ENST00000371953.7:c.740T>C

ENST00000472832.2:c.167T>C

NM_000314.5:c.740T>C

NM_001304717.2:c.1259T>C

NM_001304718.1:c.149T>C

NM_000314.7:c.740T>C

NM_001304717.5:c.1259T>C

NM_001304718.2:c.149T>C

NM_000314.8:c.740T>C

Likely Pathogenic

Met criteria codes 5

- PM6_Strong
- PS3_Moderate
- PP2
- PP3
- PM2_Supporting

Not Met criteria codes 21

- PVS1
- BA1
- BP5
- BP7
- BP4
- BP3
- BP1
- BP2
- BS2
- BS1
- BS4
- BS3
- PP1
- PP4
- PM1
- PM3
- PM5
- PM4
- PS1
- PS2
- PS4

Evidence Links 1

Expert Panel

PTEN VCEP

Criteria Specification Information

- [Criteria Specification: ClinGen PTEN Expert Panel Specifications to the ACMG/AMP Variant Interpretation Guidelines for PTEN Version 3.0.0](#)
- [Criteria Specification Approval History](#)
- [Criteria Specifications for this VCEP](#)

Evidence submitted by expert panel

PTEN VCEP

PTEN c.740T>C (p.Leu247Ser) meets criteria to be classified as likely pathogenic for PTEN Hamartoma Tumor syndrome in an autosomal dominant manner using modified ACMG criteria (ACMG Classification Rules Specified for PTEN Variant Curation version 3.0.0). Please see a summary of the rules and criteria codes in the “PTEN ACMG Specifications Summary” document (assertion method column). PM2_Supporting: Absent in large sequenced populations (PMID: 27535533). PM6_Strong: One proband with presumed de novo occurrence (maternity/paternity not confirmed) for a patient with highly specific phenotype. (PMIDs: 28086757 and 29752200) PP2: PTEN is defined by the PTEN Expert Panel as a gene that has a low rate of benign missense variation and where missense variants are a common mechanism of disease. PS3_Moderate: Functional studies showing a damaging effect on protein function. Phosphatase activity ≤ -1.11 per Mighell et al. 2018 (PMID: 29706350). This variant: score of -1.669765892. PP3: REVEL score > 0.7 (this variant: score=0.918).

Met criteria codes

PM6_Strong		✓	Negishi et al reported that patient #5 had variant as confirmed de novo event (PMID: 28086757; Please note: Kato et al reported the same patient in PMID: 29752200). Added the upweight to PM6_Strong due to the 4.9mo female who had an OFC of 4.3 SD and developmental delay. Proband specificity score is 5.
PS3_Moderate		✓	Per new PTEN VCEP guidelines, PS3_M can be applied for functional scores in the hypomorphic range in Mighell et al. 2018.
PP2		✓	PTEN is defined by the PTEN Expert Panel as a gene that has a low rate of benign missense variation and where missense variants are a common mechanism of disease.
PP3		✓	REVEL score of 0.918 (>0.7)
PM2_Supporting		✓	Variant not found in gnomAD.

Not Met criteria codes

PVS1			No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
BA1			No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
BP5			No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
BP7			No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
BP4			No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
BP3			No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
BP1			No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
BP2			No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
BS2			No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
BS1			No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
BS4			No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
BS3			No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
PP1			No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
PP4			<p>Patient #5 described in paper by Negishi et al is a 4.9mo female who has an OFC of 4.3 SD and developmental delay. Proband specificity score is 5 so PP4 can be applied. - JM agree but added to PS4 instead of PP4.</p> <hr/>
			Patient #5 proband specificity score is 5. PubMed:28086757 
PM1			

No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline

PM3		✖	No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
PM5		✖	No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
PM4		✖	No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
PS1		✖	No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
PS2		✖	No code specific comments provided, please refer to the summary above or general recommendations provided in the guideline
PS4		✖	Proband specificity score of 5 for child = 1 point. PS4 weight was removed here and combined with PM6 to upweight to PM6_Strong. See PM6_Strong.
Per M. Perpich: Patient #5 described in paper by Negishi et al is a 4.9mo female who has an OFC of 4.3 SD and developmental delay. Proband specificity score is 5 so PP4 can be applied. PubMed:28086757 			

Curation History

▼

▼

Showing 1 to 2 of 2 rows

--

The information on this website is not intended for direct diagnostic use or medical decision-making without review by a genetics professional. Individuals should not change their health behavior solely on the basis of information contained on this website. If you have questions about the information contained on this website, please see a health care professional.